A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, ET AL.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED
COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE
DEFENSES, ANSWER TO
CROSSCLAIMS AND
CROSSCLAIMS OF UNIVAR USA

INC.

Defendant, Univar USA Inc. ("Univar"), by its attorneys, Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, hereby Answers Christian F. Holinka's ("Plaintiff") Verified Complaint, and says:

- 1. Univar denies any knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as the trath of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, as the are not directed to Univar and relate to other defendants, and therefore denies those allegations. To the extent that such allegations are directed to Univar, Univar denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 23 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
 - 2. Univar denies the allegations in paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 3. Univar denies the allegations in paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint. By way of further answer, Univar admits that it is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Washington and that it does business in the State of New York. Univar denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 4. Univar denies any knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs 24 and 25 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, as they are not directed to Univar and relate to other defendants, and therefore denies those allegations. To the

extent that such allegations are directed to Univar, Univar denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 24 and 25 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.

5. Univar hereby answers the remaining allegations incorporated by reference in Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, by reference to Univar's Standard Answer To Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Standard Asbestos Complaint For Personal Injury No. 7, and raises each and every affirmative defense, answer to crossclaim and crossclaim contained therein.

WHEREFORE, Univar demands judgment in its favor dismissing the Verified Complaint and awarding it attorneys' fees and costs of suit and such other relief which this Court may deem proper and just.

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

Thuy T. Hui

Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 140 Broadway – 39th Floor New York, New York 10005

(212) 248-3140

Attorneys for Defendant Univar USA Inc.

Dated: December 13, 2006

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	JRK.
	X
CHRISTIAN F. HOLINKA,	: Index No. 06114120
	:
Plaintiff,	: AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
-against-	:
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, ET AL.	:
Defendants.	:
	: X

FRANK JOHN affirms under penalty of perjury:

- 1. I am over 18 years of age and is not a party to this action.
- 2. On the 13th day of December, 2006 I served a true copy of the foregoing Verified

Answer to Plaintiff's Verified Complaint of Univar USA Inc. by first class mail to the following:

WEITZ & LUXEMBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff Benjamin Darche 180 Maiden Lane New York, New York 10038-4925 (212) 558-5500

by depositing a copy in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the U.S. Post Office within the State of New York.

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 13th day of

December 2006

NOTARY PUBLIC

		X :	Index No. 06114120	
CHRISTIAN F. HOLINKA,		:		
	Plaintiff,	:		
-against-		:		•
A.W. CHESTERTON CO	OMPANY, ET AL.	:		
	Defendants.	:		

VERIFIED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, ANSWER TO CROSSCLAIMS AND CROSSCLAIMS OF UNIVAR USA INC.

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
Attorneys at Law
Attorneys for Defendant
Univar USA Inc.
140 Broadway, 39th Floor

WINNER 2005

New York, New York 10005 (212) 248-3140